Few-Body Systems manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Reginald Christian S. Bernardo · Jose Perico H. Esguerra

Exactly solvable dynamical models with a minimal length uncertainty

arXiv:1602.02240v1 [hep-th] 6 Feb 2016

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We present exact analytical solutions to the classical equations of motion and analyze the dynamical consequences of the existence of a minimal length for the free particle, particle in a linear potential, anti-symmetric constant force oscillator, harmonic oscillator, vertical harmonic oscillator, linear diatomic chain, and linear triatomic chain. It turns out that a minimal length increases the speed of a free particle and the rate of fall of a particle that is subject to the influence of a linear potential. Our results suggest that the characteristic frequency of systems tend to increase when there is a minimal length. This is a common feature that we observed for the oscillator systems that we have considered.

Keywords Dynamics · Minimal length · Generalized uncertainty principle

1 Introduction

In 1995, Kempf, Mangano, and Mann [17] proposed a modification of quantum mechanics that phenomenologically includes a minimal uncertainty in position or minimal length through the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) given by

$$\Delta X \Delta P \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}(1 + \beta(\Delta P)^2 + \zeta) \tag{1}$$

where X is the position, P is the momentum, β is the GUP parameter, and ζ is a positive constant that depends on the expectation value of the momentum. It has been suggested that a theory with the GUP can be used to describe nonpointlike particles such as molecules and nucleons [16; 28] although interest in the GUP comes mostly from the fact that it arises from string theory [18; 21; 22; 20] and that quantum gravity theories [9; 29] predict the existence of a minimal length. Phenomenological investigation of quantum gravity effects have therefore been considered using the GUP [6; 5; 26; 11; 25; 7; 13; 12; 15]. It is worthwhile to consider the dynamical implications of the existence of a minimal length on systems because of suggestions [14; 23; 24] that the Ehrenfest theorem is not valid when the GUP is considered. These suggestions come from comparing quantum mechanics with the GUP with the usual classical dynamics and therefore need to be given further attention by considering a GUP modified classical dynamics. There have been relatively few investigations on the dynamical

R. C. S. Bernardo
Theoretical Physics Group, National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City 1101, Philippines
E-mail: rcbernardo@nip.upd.edu.ph

J. P. H. Esguerra
Theoretical Physics Group, National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City 1101, Philippines
E-mail: perry.esguerra@gmail.com

implications of the GUP [14; 31; 23] and a complete picture of the theory requires an analysis of time development.

We address this issue in this paper and start the analysis of time development of classical systems with a minimal length. This is a reasonable first approach to dynamics; while an analysis of quantum dynamics with the GUP often leads to a challenging set of operator differential equations, it turns out that some analytical progress can be made on the counterpart classical dynamics. We hope that the insight that can be gained from studying the classical counterpart can provide hints about the quantum dynamics. We begin by writing the equations of motion for the classical system when there is a minimal length (Section 2). We then present exact analytical solutions to the equations of motion and analyze the classical dynamical implications of a minimal length for the free particle, particle in a linear potential, anti-symmetric constant force oscillator, harmonic oscillator, vertical harmonic oscillator, linear diatomic chain, and linear triatomic chain (Section 3).

2 Classical dynamics with minimal length

The GUP given by Eq. 1 predicts the existence of a minimal uncertainty in position or a minimal length of magnitude $\Delta X_{min} = \hbar\sqrt{\beta}$. One way of arriving at this GUP is to consider the deformed commutation relation given by [17]

$$[\hat{X}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar(1 + \beta\hat{P}^2). \tag{2}$$

The classical counterpart of Eq. 2 is the deformed Poisson bracket given by [4; 30; 31]

$$\{X, P\} = 1 + \beta P^2. \tag{3}$$

We can show that this deformed Poisson bracket is satisfied by the classical dynamical position X and momentum P given by

$$X = x \tag{4}$$

$$P = p + \frac{1}{3}\beta p^3 \tag{5}$$

where x and p which we will refer to as the pseudoposition and pseudomomentum, respectively, satisfy $\{x, p\} = 1$. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2m} + V(X) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta}{m}p^4 + V(x). \tag{6}$$

It follows from $X = x$ and the principle of conservation of energy that the kinetic energy of the system can be measured once the functional form of the potential acting on the system is known. Because the fundamental form of the kinetic energy is written as the single term $\frac{P^2}{2m}$ it follows that P is what we know and measure to be the momentum. But although X and P are the physical quantities it turns out that x and p are useful mathematical tools and that we can analyze the dynamics of the system by studying the time evolution of x and p.

Using the Hamiltonian equations of motion, we can show that the pseudoposition x and pseudomomentum p evolve in time according to

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{p}{m} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta}{m}p^3 \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{dV}{dx}. \tag{8}$$

Complete dynamical information can by obtained by solving Eqs. 7 and 8 for x and p subject to the initial conditions

$$x|_{t=0} = x_0 \tag{9}$$

$$p|_{t=0} = p_0. \tag{10}$$

In the final analysis we want the physical quantities X and P to be expressed entirely in terms of the initial quantities X_0 and P_0. In this case we plug in our solutions to x and p back into Eqs. 4 and 5 and use the relations

$$x_0 = X_0 \tag{11}$$

and

$$p_0 = -\left(\frac{2}{3\beta^2 P_0 + \sqrt{4\beta^3 + 9\beta^4 P_0^2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left(\frac{3\beta^2 P_0 + \sqrt{4\beta^2 + 9\beta^4 P_0^2}}{2\beta^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{12}$$

Eqs. 11 and 12 are the inverse of Eqs. 4 and 5 at $t = 0$.

3 Exactly solvable models

3.1 Free particle

For the free particle $V(x) = V_0$. Eq. 8 gives $\frac{dp}{dt} = 0$ and hence the pseudomomentum is a constant of motion

$$p(t) = p(t = t_0) = p_0. \tag{13}$$

Plugging in Eq. 13 into Eq. 7 and integrating with respect to time t we obtain the pseudoposition

$$x(t) = x_0 + \left(\frac{p_0}{m} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta}{m}p_0^3\right)t. \tag{14}$$

This result shows that the existence of a minimal length tends to increase the velocity of a free particle.

3.2 Particle in a linear potential

In this case we have $V(x) = bx$. The constant b is the weight for a massive particle in a uniform gravitational field and the electric force for a charged particle in a uniform electric field. Using Eq. 8 we have

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -b. \tag{15}$$

Eq. 15 can be readily integrated for the pseudomomentum

$$p(t) = -bt + p_0. \tag{16}$$

Plugging in Eq. 16 into Eq. 7 gives

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{-bt + p_0}{m} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta}{m}(-bt + p_0)^3. \tag{17}$$

The integration of Eq. 17 with respect to the time t is straightforward and gives the pseudoposition

$$x(t) = x_0 + \frac{p_0}{m}t - \frac{b}{2m}t^2 - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta}{mb}[(-bt + p_0)^4 - p_0^4]. \tag{18}$$

Eq. 18 shows that the effect of a minimal observable length on a particle that is subject to the influence of a constant force is to increase the rate of fall to the region of lower potential.

3.3 Anti-symmetric constant force oscillator

We consider a particle bound by the absolute value potential given by $V(x) = b|x|$. Using Eq. 8 this gives rise to the anti-symmetric constant force oscillator

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -b \ \mathrm{sgn}(x) \tag{19}$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(x)$ is the the signum function. Before a quarter of a period T we can integrate the pseudoposition x and pseudomomentum p from Eqs. 19 and 7 with the particular initial conditions $x_0 > 0$ and $p_0 = 0$. The results are

$$p(t) = -bt \tag{20}$$

$$x(t) = x_0 - \frac{bt}{2m} - \frac{\beta b^3 t^4}{3m}. \tag{21}$$

The period of motion can be determined by setting $x = 0$ at $t = \frac{T}{4}$. This leads to

$$\frac{T}{4} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\beta b^2}} \sqrt{\sqrt{1 + \frac{16\beta b x_0 m}{3}} - 1}. \tag{22}$$

Using the principle of conservation of energy we can check that the pseudoposition and pseudomomentum have the same period. Using Eq. 6 we obtain

$$bx_0 = \frac{p_f^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta}{m} p_f^4 \tag{23}$$

where $p = p_f$ when $x = 0$. It is straightforward to solve for p_f in Eq. 23

$$p_f = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\beta}} \sqrt{\sqrt{1 + \frac{16\beta b x_0 m}{3}} - 1}. \tag{24}$$

Using Eqs. 22 and 24 we can check that $p(t = \frac{T}{4}) = p_f$. Therefore it is confirmed that pseudoposition and pseudomomentum have the same period. Correspondingly, the position and momentum (Eqs. 4 and 5) will have the same period.

3.4 Harmonic oscillator

For the harmonic oscillator $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 x^2$. Eq. 8 gives

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -m\omega_0^2 x. \tag{25}$$

We can uncouple p by differentiating Eq. 25 and using Eq. 7 to eliminate x. This leads to the Duffing equation [1; 3]

$$\frac{d^2 p}{dt^2} + \omega_0^2 p + \frac{4}{3}\omega_0^2 \beta p^3 = 0. \tag{26}$$

This equation was also encountered by Hassanabadi, Hooshmand, and Zarrinkamar [14] in their analysis of the same problem. In this work, we present an exact solution to the Duffing equation in terms of elliptic functions. The solution to Eq. 26 subject to the initial conditions

$$p|_{t=0} = p_0 \qquad \left.\frac{dp}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = -m\omega_0^2 x_0 \tag{27}$$

is given by [3]

$$p(t) = A \ \mathrm{cn}(\Omega(t - t_1), \mu) \tag{28}$$

where

$$\Omega = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{3}\beta A^2} \tag{29}$$

$$A = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\beta}} \sqrt{\sqrt{1 + \frac{8\beta}{3}\left(m^2\omega_0^2 x_0^2 + p_0^2 + \frac{2}{3}\beta p_0^4\right)} - 1} \tag{30}$$

$$\mu = \frac{2}{3}\beta \left(\frac{\omega_0 A}{\Omega}\right)^2 \tag{31}$$

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\Omega}\left(\mathrm{K}\left(\frac{p_0}{A}, \mu\right) + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{32}$$

and $\mathrm{cn}(x, \mu)$ and $\mathrm{K}(x, \mu)$ are the Jacobi elliptic function and incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind, respectively, with modulus μ [2; 10; 19]. The pseudomomentum p has a well-defined frequency given by

$$\omega = \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\Omega}{\mathrm{K}(\mu)} \tag{33}$$

where $\mathrm{K}(m)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind [19]. We can determine the pseudoposition x by plugging in Eq. 28 into Eq. 7 and integrating over the time t. It is easy to show that

$$\int_0^t dt' p(t') = \frac{A}{\Omega}\frac{\mathrm{z}(t, \mu)}{\mu} \tag{34}$$

$$\int_0^t dt' p^3(t') = \frac{A^3}{\Omega}\frac{(2\mu^2 - 1)\mathrm{z}(t, \mu) + \mu \mathrm{y}(t, \mu))}{2\mu^3} \tag{35}$$

where

$$\mathrm{z}(t, \mu) = \arcsin\left(\frac{2\mu\ \mathrm{sn}(\frac{\Omega t}{2}, \mu)\ \mathrm{dn}(\frac{\Omega t}{2}, \mu)\ \mathrm{cn}(\Omega(\frac{t}{2} - t_1), \mu)}{1 - \mu^2 \mathrm{sn}^2(\frac{\Omega t}{2}, \mu)\mathrm{sn}^2(\Omega(\frac{t}{2} - t_1), \mu)}\right) \tag{36}$$

$$y(t, \mu) = \mathrm{sn}(\Omega(t - t_1), \mu)\ \mathrm{dn}(\Omega(t - t_1), \mu) + \mathrm{sn}(\Omega t_1, \mu)\ \mathrm{dn}(\Omega t_1, \mu) \tag{37}$$

and $\mathrm{sn}(x, \mu)$ and $\mathrm{dn}(x, \mu)$ are the Jacobi elliptic functions [2; 10; 19]. The pseudoposition is therefore given by

$$x(t) = x_0 + \frac{1}{m}\frac{A}{\Omega}\frac{\mathrm{z}(t; \mu)}{\mu} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta}{m}\frac{A^3}{\Omega}\frac{(2\mu^2 - 1)\mathrm{z}(t; \mu) + \mu \mathrm{y}(t; \mu))}{2\mu^3}. \tag{38}$$

Fig. 1 shows a plot of the position and momentum (Eqs. 4 and 5) and corresponding phase space for a harmonic oscillator of unit mass for three values of the GUP parameter β. Fig. 1 shows that the frequency of the harmonic oscillator increases when there is a minimal length.

3.5 Vertical harmonic oscillator

Consider the vertical harmonic oscillator, classically representing a particle hung from a ceiling by a spring, with the potential $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2(x - \xi)^2 + mgx$. Eq. 8 leads to

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -m\omega_0^2(x - \xi) - mg. \tag{39}$$

We can uncouple equation Eqs. 39 and 7 by differentiating Eq. 39 with respect to the time t and using Eq. 7. This leads again to the Duffing equation exactly given by Eq. 26. The only difference in this case is through the initial conditions

$$p|_{t=0} = p_0 \qquad \left.\frac{dp}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = -m\omega_0^2(x_0 - \xi) - mg. \tag{40}$$

Hence we can simply consider the case of the vertical harmonic oscillator as a shifted harmonic oscillator and use the results of the previous subsection.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 1 (a) Position and (b) momentum as a function of time for two ordinary cycles with corresponding (c) phase space for a harmonic oscillator of unit mass.

3.6 Linear diatomic chain (two-body problem)

In this section and the next, we will consider two- and three-body problems which require us to make the assumption that the kinetic energies are additive. This assumption has already been used in a previous work and has also led to saving the equivalence principle [31]. Moreover, the natural generalization to Eq. 2 for an N body system is that the coordinates and momenta of different particles commute [8; 27]. Thus, for the case of the linear diatomic chain we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta_1}{m_1}p_1^4 + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta_2}{m_2}p_2^4 + \frac{1}{2}k(x_1 - x_2)^2 \tag{41}$$

where x_1, p_1, m_1, and β_1 and x_2, p_2, m_2, and β_2 are the pseudoposition, pseudomomenta, masses, and GUP parameters of particles 1 and 2, respectively. Note that we need not have the same GUP parameter β, unless we are dealing with the same elementary particle, but we require that

$$\sqrt{\beta_1}m_1 = \sqrt{\beta_2}m_2 = \gamma \tag{42}$$

where γ is a universal constant. This ensures that the weak equivalence principle is satisfied [31].

The Hamiltonian equations of motion for the variables x_1, p_1, x_2, and p_2 are given by

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \frac{p_1}{m_1} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_1}{m_1}p_1^3 \tag{43}$$

$$\frac{dp_1}{dt} = -k(x_1 - x_2) \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \frac{p_2}{m_2} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_2}{m_2}p_2^3 \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{dp_2}{dt} = -k(x_2 - x_1). \tag{46}$$

Complete dynamical behaviour will be known once Eqs. 43, 44, 45, and 46 have been solved for x_1, p_1, x_2 and p_2 subject to the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} x_1|_{t=0} = x_{10} & p_1|_{t=0} = p_{10} \\ x_2|_{t=0} = x_{20} & p_2|_{t=0} = p_{20} \end{cases}. \tag{47}$$

By adding Eqs. 44 and 46 we obtain $\frac{d}{dt}(p_1 + p_2) = 0$. The total pseudomomentum $p_1 + p_2$ is therefore a constant of motion

$$p_T = p_1 + p_2. \tag{48}$$

We can use Eq. 48 to eliminate either p_1 or p_2 in consideration. Eliminating p_2 from Eq. 45 yields

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \frac{p_T - p_1}{m_2} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_2}{m_2}(p_T - p_1)^3. \tag{49}$$

Differentiating Eq. 44 and using Eqs. 43 and 49 yield

$$\frac{d^2p_1}{dt^2} = -k\left(\frac{p_1}{m_1} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_1}{m_1}p_1^3\right) + k\left[\frac{(p_T - p_1)}{m_2} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_2}{m_2}(p_T - p_1)^3\right]. \tag{50}$$

We now specialize to the frame where $p_T = 0$. In this case Eq. 50 becomes the Duffing equation

$$\frac{d^2p_1}{dt^2} + \frac{k}{m^*}p_1 + \frac{4}{3}k\frac{\beta^*}{m^*}p_1^3 = 0 \tag{51}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{m^*} = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \tag{52}$$

and

$$\beta^* = \frac{m_1\beta_2 + m_2\beta_1}{m_1 + m_2}. \tag{53}$$

We know that we can solve Eq. 51 for the pseudomomentum p_1 with the initial conditions

$$p_1|_{t=0} = p_{10} \qquad \left.\frac{dp_1}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = -k(x_{10} - x_{20}) \tag{54}$$

in terms of a Jacobi elliptic function (Eq. 28). The situation is therefore similar to the harmonic oscillator but with a reduced mass m^* and reduced GUP parameter β^*. Our expression for the reduced GUP parameter (Eq. 53) agrees with Quesne and Tkachuk's result for the effective deformation parameter for relative motion in a two-body problem [27]. We can plug back the pseudomomentum p_1 to Eq. 43 and 49 and integrate exactly to obtain the pseudopositions x_1 and x_2. The pseudomomentum p_2 can

be obtained from Eq. 48. We can solve for a center of mass velocity by multiplying Eqs. 43 and 45 by m_1 and m_2, respectively, noting that we have specialized to the frame in which $p_T = 0$, and adding the resulting equations. This gives

$$m_1\frac{dx_1}{dt} + m_2\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \frac{4}{3}(\beta_1 - \beta_2)p_1^3. \tag{55}$$

As a consequence of the existence of a minimal length we see that the center of mass is not at rest but oscillates with a well-defined frequency in the frame in which $p_T = 0$. A special case arises when the diatomic chain is made up of the same elementary particles ($\beta_1 = \beta_2$ and $m_1 = m_2$). In this case, the center of mass is at rest. Using Eq. 35 to integrate Eq. 55 we can obtain an exact-closed form expression for the center of mass coordinate ($R = m_1X_1 + m_2X_2$).

3.7 Linear triatomic chain (three-body problem)

Finally, we study the effect of minimal length on the longitudinal modes of the symmetrical linear triatomic chain. In general, the Hamiltonian for the linear triatomic chain is

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta_1}{m_1}p_1^4 + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta_2}{m_2}p_2^4 + \frac{p_3^2}{2m_3} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\beta_3}{m_3}p_3^4 + \frac{1}{2}k_1(x_1 - x_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_3(x_3 - x_2)^2 \tag{56}$$

where x_i, p_i, m_i, and β_i are the pseudopositions, pseudomomenta, masses, and the GUP parameters, respectively, of the ith particle. Using Hamiltonian equations of motion on each of the x_i and p_i yields the set of differential equations

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = \frac{p_1}{m_1} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_1}{m_1}p_1^3 \tag{57}$$

$$\frac{dp_1}{dt} = -k_1(x_1 - x_2) \tag{58}$$

$$\frac{dx_2}{dt} = \frac{p_2}{m_2} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_2}{m_2}p_2^3 \tag{59}$$

$$\frac{dp_2}{dt} = -k_1(x_2 - x_1) - k_3(x_2 - x_3) \tag{60}$$

$$\frac{dx_3}{dt} = \frac{p_3}{m_3} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\beta_3}{m_3}p_3^3 \tag{61}$$

$$\frac{dp_3}{dt} = -k_3(x_3 - x_2). \tag{62}$$

We can add Eqs. 58, 60, and 62 to show that $\frac{d}{dt}(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = 0$. Again, we find that the total pseudomomentum $p_1 + p_2 + p_3$ is a constant of motion

$$p_T = p_1 + p_2 + p_3. \tag{63}$$

We can therefore use Eq. 63 to eliminate one of the p_i in the equations.

We now specialize to the case of the symmetrical linear triatomic chain where

$$\begin{cases} m_1 = m_3 = m & m_2 = M & k_1 = k_3 = k \\ \beta_1 = \beta_3 = \beta_m & \beta_2 = \beta_M & \end{cases}. \tag{64}$$

In the case without minimal length, it is known that this dynamical system exhibits two longitudinal modes characterized by anti-symmetric ($x_1 = -x_3$, $x_2 = 0$) and symmetric ($x_1 = x_3 - L$, $x_2 = -\frac{2m}{M}x_1$) behaviour. We shall examine the effect of minimal length on these two modes.

For the anti-symmetric mode $x_2 = 0$. Therefore $\frac{dx_2}{dt} = 0$ and from Eq. 45 we conclude that

$$p_2 = 0. \tag{65}$$

Correspondingly, the momentum of the central atom will be zero. From $x_1 = -x_3$, we can show using Eqs. 57 and 61 that

$$p_1 = -p_3. \tag{66}$$

Now we can differentiate Eq. 58, noting that in this mode $\frac{dx_2}{dt} = 0$, and use Eq. 57 to obtain a Duffing equation

$$\frac{d^2p_1}{dt^2} + \frac{k}{m}p_1 + \frac{4}{3}\frac{k}{m}\beta_m p_1^3 = 0. \tag{67}$$

We can solve this with the initial conditions

$$p_1|_{t=0} = p_{10} \qquad \left.\frac{dp_1}{dt}\right|_{t=0} = -kx_{10} \tag{68}$$

where $x_{i0} = x_i|_{t=0}$ in terms of a Jacobi elliptic function (Eq. 28). We can then plug back the pseudo-momentum p_1 to Eq. 57 and perform the integration to completely solve for the dynamical behaviour of the mode as effected by the presence of minimal length. Based on Eq. 67 it turns out that the effect of minimal length on the anti-symmetric mode is to modify the eigenfrequency to the value ω_A given by

$$\omega_A^2 = \frac{\pi^2\frac{k}{m}\left(1 + \frac{4}{3}\beta_m A_A^2\right)}{4\mathrm{K}^2(\mu)} \tag{69}$$

where

$$A_A = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\beta_m}}\sqrt{\sqrt{1 + \frac{8\beta_m}{3}\left(mkx_{10}^2 + p_{10}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\beta_m p_{10}^4\right)} - 1} \tag{70}$$

$$\mu = \frac{2\beta_m A_A^2}{3 + 4\beta_m A^2}. \tag{71}$$

The energy of the mode is increased by the existence of a minimal length since $\omega_A > \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$.

For the symmetric mode we have $x_1 = x_3 - L$. Using Eqs. 57 and 61 we find that

$$p_1 = p_3. \tag{72}$$

Also, we have in this mode $x_2 = -\frac{2m}{M}x_1$. With Eqs. 57 and 59 we obtain

$$p_1 = -\frac{1}{2}p_2 \tag{73}$$

and

$$\beta_m = 4\beta_M. \tag{74}$$

We note that Eq. 74 is a necessary requirement for the mode to have a solution. By differentiating Eq. 58 and using Eqs. 57 we can obtain the Duffing equation

$$\frac{d^2p_1}{dt^2} + \frac{k}{M}\left(\frac{2m+M}{m}\right)p_1 + \frac{4}{3}\frac{k}{M}\left(\frac{2m+M}{m}\right)\beta_m p_1^3 = 0. \tag{75}$$

Comparing the form of the Duffing equation above to those we have encountered previously readily shows that there is an increase in the eigenfrequency of the mode.

4 Conclusion

We obtained exact analytical solutions to the classical equations of motion and analyze the dynamical implications due to the existence of a minimal length for the free particle, particle in a linear potential, anti-symmetric constant force oscillator, harmonic oscillator, vertical harmonic oscillator, linear diatomic chain, and linear triatomic chain. It turns out that a free particle will move faster and that a particle in a linear potential will fall faster to the region of lower potential when there is a minimal length. For the oscillator systems that we considered (anti-symmetric constant force oscillator, harmonic oscillator, vertical harmonic oscillator, linear diatomic chain, and linear triatomic chain) we observed one common feature, an increase in the characteristic frequency of the system.

References

1. Amore P, Raya A, Fernandez FM (2005) Alternative perturbation approaches in classical mechanics. European Journal of Physics 26:1057–1063
2. Baker TE, Bill A (2012) Jacobi elliptic functions and the complete solution to the bead on the hoop problem. American Journal of Physics 80:506–513
3. Belendez A, Alvarez ML, Fernandez E, Pascual I (2009) Cubication of conservative nonlinear oscillators. European Journal of Physics 30:973–981
4. Benczik S, Chang LN, Minic D, Okamura N, Rayyan S, Takeuchi T (2002) Short distance versus long distance physics: The classical limit of the minimal length uncertainty relation. Physical Review D: Particles and Fields 66:026,003
5. Bouaziz D, Ferkous N (2010) Hydrogen atom in momentum space with a minimal length. Physical Review A: Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics 82:022,105
6. Brau F (1999) Minimal length uncertainty relation and the hydrogen atom. Journal of Physics A 32:7691
7. Brau F, Buisseret F (2006) Minimal length uncertainty relation and gravitational quantum well. Physical Review D: Particles and Fields 74:036,002
8. Buisseret F (2010) Quantum n-body problem with a minimal length. Physical Review A: Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics 82:062,102
9. Capozziello S, Lambiase G, Scarpetta G (2000) Generalized uncertainty principle from quantum geometry. International Journal of Theoretical Physics 39(1):15–22
10. Cervero JM (1986) Unveiling the solitons mystery: The jacobi elliptic functions. American Journal of Physics 54:35–38
11. Chang LN, Minic D, Okamura N, Takeuchi T (2002) Exact solution of the harmonic oscillator in arbitrary dimensions with minimal length uncertainty relations. Physical Review D: Particles and Fields 65:125,027
12. Haouat S (2014) Schrodinger equation and resonant scattering in the presence of a minimal length. Physics Letters B 729:33–38
13. Hassanabadi H, Zarrinkamar S, Maghsoodi E (2012) Scattering states of woods-saxon interaction in minimal length quantum mechanics. Physics Letters B 718(2):678–682
14. Hassanabadi H, Hooshmand P, Zarrinkamar S (2014) The generalized uncertainty principle and harmonic interaction in three spatial dimensions. Few-Body Syst DOI 10.1007/s00601-014-0910-7 Published Online: 08 November 2014
15. Hassanabadi H, Zarrinkamar S, Maghsoodi E (2014) Cusp interaction in minimal length quantum mechanics. Few-Body Syst 55:255–263
16. Kempf A (1997) Non-pointlike particles in harmonic oscillators. Journal of Physics A 30:2093–2101
17. Kempf A, Mangano G, Mann RB (1995) Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation. Physical Review D: Particles and Fields 52(2):1108–1118
18. Konishi K, Paffuti G, Provero P (1990) Minimum physical length and the generalized uncertainty principle in string theory. Physics Letters B 234(3):276–284
19. Lawden DF (1989) Elliptic functions and applications. Springer-Verlag New York Inc.
20. Maggiore M (1993) The algebraic structure of the generalized uncertainty principle. Physics Letters B 319(1-3):83–86
21. Maggiore M (1993) A generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity. Physics Letters B 304(1-2):65–69
22. Maggiore M (1994) Quantum groups, gravity, and the generalized uncertainty principle. Physical Review 49(10):5182
23. Nozari K, Azizi T (2006) Gravitationally induced uncertainty and dynamics of harmonic oscillator. General Relativity and Gravitation 38(2):325–331
24. Nozari K, Namdari S, Vahedi J (2012) Natural cutoffs and dynamics of harmonic oscillations. Chinese Journal of Physics 50(4):554–562
25. Pedram P (2012) Minimal length and the quantum bouncer: A nonperturbative study. International Journal of Theoretical Physics 51(6):1901–1910
26. Pedram P (2012) New approach to nonperturbative quantum mechanics with minimal length uncertainty. Physical Review D: Particles and Fields 85:024,016
27. Quesne C, Tkachuk VM (2010) Composite system in defomed space with minimal length. Physical Review A: Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics 81:012,106
28. Sastry RR (2000) Quantum mechanics of smeared particles. Journal of Physics A 33:8305
29. Scardigli F (1999) Generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity from micro-black hole gedanken experiment. Physics Letters B 452(1-2):39–44
30. Silagadze Z (2009) Quantum gravity, minimum length and keplerian orbits. Physics Letters A 373:2643–2645
31. Tkachuk VM (2012) Deformed heisenberg algebra with minimal length and the equivalence principle. Physical Review A: Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics 86:062,112